# Case 2:15-WiNIFEDFSTATESEDISTRICOP/08/65URage 1 of 3

## DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

### **United States of America**

#### ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

V.

	M	ario M	ota-Estrada	Case Number:	15-01649MJ-001		
			Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a blished: (Check one or both, as applicable.)	detention hearing has been s	submitted. I conclude that the		
			nvincing evidence the defendant is a dath	anger to the community and r	equire the detention of the defendant		
×	17.0		ance of the evidence the defendant is a this case.	a serious flight risk and require	e the detention of the defendant		
			PART I FIN	IDINGS OF FACT			
	(1)	18 U.S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is					
			a crime of violence as defined in 18 U	.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).			
			an offense for which the maximum se	ntence is life imprisonment or	death.		
			an offense for which a maximum term	of imprisonment of ten years	or more is prescribed in		
			a felony that was committed after the offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 314				
			any felony that involves a minor victim device (as those terms are defined in to register under 18 U.S.C. §2250.				
	(2)	18 U.S. release	C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense descripending trial for a federal, state or local	bed in finding 1 was committe al offense.	ed while the defendant was on		
	(3)	convicti	C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not motion)(release of the defendant from impr	isonment) for the offense des	scribed in finding 1.		
	(4)	will reas	s Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebut sonably assure the safety of (an)other p utted this presumption.	table presumption that no con person(s) and the community.	ndition or combination of conditions I further find that the defendant has		
			Alternat	ive Findings			
	(1)	18 U.S.	C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable cause	e to believe that the defendan	t has committed an offense		
			for which a maximum term of imprisor	ment of ten years or more is	prescribed in1		
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), or 2	332b.			
			under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for which prescribed.	a maximum term of imprison	ment of 20 years or more is		
			an offense involving a minor victim und	der section	2		
	(2)	The def condition	endant has not rebutted the presumptions will reasonably assure the appearan	on established by finding 1 thance of the defendant as require	at no condition or combination of ed and the safety of the community.		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $<sup>{}^{2}\</sup>text{Insert as applicable 18 U.S.C. }\S 1201, 1591, 2241-42, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3, 2252(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.$ 

### Case 2:15-mj-01649-JFM Document 4 Filed 09/03/15 Page 2 of 3

### **Alternative Findings**

74	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).
	(4)	
		PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)
	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>9</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:
X	(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
(	M	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.
		The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.
	ſ	If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
	7	The defendant has a prior criminal history.
	₾	The defendant lives and works in Mexico.
		The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
_	<b>T</b> L - 1	
_	rne d	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

#### Case 2:15-mj-01649-JFM Document 4 Filed 09/03/15 Page 3 of 3

#### PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

#### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: September 1, 2015

time of the hearing in this matter.

JAMES F. METCALF United States Magistrate Judge